

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/897,453	07/03/2001	Teuvo Maunula	003277-025	8362
7590 09/02/2005			EXAMINER	
Ronald L. Grudziecki			TRAN, HIEN THI	
BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P. P.O. Box 1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Alexandria, VA 22313-1404			1764	
•		•	DATE MAIL ED: 00/02/2004	c

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary    Examiner		ρ					
Examiner   Art Unit   Hien Tran   1764    - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address —  Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extractions of time may be available under the previouse of 3° CFR 1.1346, In ne event, however, may a reply the timely filled    - If the period in reply septified above, the maximum shadory period will explain any timely (30 days, with a communication in the period for reply is specified above, the maximum shadory period will explain 50 K(8) MONTH(S) from the mailing date of this communication in the period for reply is specified above, the maximum shadory period will explain 50 K(8) MONTH(S) from the mailing date of this communication. Any reply secured by the Office that the shee mortified are the mailing date of this communication, were I street filled, may reduce any secured patent turn edjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). The period for reply is specified above, the maximum shadory period will explain 50 K(8) MONTH(S) filled, may reduce any secured patent turn edjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status  1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filled on 17 June 2005.  2a) □ This action is FINAL.  2b) □ This action is non-final.  1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filled on 17 June 2005.  2a) □ This action is FINAL.  2b) □ This action is non-final.  4) □ Claim(s) 1.3-11.13.21 and 27.32 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is a result of the day of the period to develop the period of the period to the day of the above claim(s) is a result of the day of the application.  50 □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  50 □ The proving is filled on is/are: allowed.  50 □ The proving is filled on is/are: allowed.  50 □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10 □ The drawing(s) filled on is/are: allowed.  11 □ Certified copies of the pr		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILLING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address—Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Eleasions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(b). The event-however, may a reply be limited from the state SIX (b) MONTHS from the mailling date of the communication.  The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the control of the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(b). The event-however, may a reply be limited from the mailling date of the communication.  The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the state or administration of the control		09/897,453	MAUNULA, TEUVO				
The MALLING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Repty  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extension of time my be available under the provisions of 3 CFR 1.13(a). In no event, however, may a raply be timely filled sets SX (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Extensions of time my be available under the provisions of 3 CFR 1.13(a). In no event, however, may a raply be timely filled sets SX (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Fabration to reply whitin the set or setended period for reply will, by datalacy period will apply and will expire SX (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Fabration to reply whitin the set or setended period for reply will, by datalacy period will apply and will expire MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, exempt 1 thinky field, may reduce any search application.  Fabration to reply within the set or setended period for reply will, by datalacy active the subject on the communication, even 1 thinky field, may reduce any search application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  Algorithms application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  Algorithms application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Expansion for the above decimined in a condition of a claim for foreign priority and for a claim for foreign for expansion for expansion for the promote of the condition of the promote of the condition of the promote of the condition of the promote of the promote of the	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ③ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the providence of 3° CPR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled  Extensions of time may be available under the providence of 3° CPR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled  Extensions of time may be available under the providence of 3° CPR 1.136(b). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled  Extensions of time may be available under the providence of 3° CPR 1.136(b). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled  Extension of time the providence of 3° CPR 1.136(b). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled on 1° Failure to length spilled filled providence of the communication of 1° CPR 1.136(b). In the providence of the communication of 1° CPR 1.136(b). In the providence of the communication of 1° CPR 1.136(b). In the providence of the communication of 1° CPR 1.136(b). In the providence of the communication of 1° CPR 1.136(b). In the providence of the communication of 1° CPR 1.136(b). In the providence of the communication of 1° CPR 1.136(b). In the providence of the communication of 1° CPR 1.136(b). In the providence of the communication of 1° CPR 1.136(b). In the providence of the communication of 1° CPR 1.136(b). In the providence of the communication of 1° CPR 1.136(b). In the providence of the communication of 1° CPR 1.136(b). In the providence of the providence of the communication of 1° CPR 1.136(b). In the providence of the providence of the communication of 1° CPR 1.136(b). In the providence of th	·						
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be waited under the provision of 3 CFR 1.13(e). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after EX (6) MCNT15F from the mailing date of this communication.  **SEX (5) MCNT15F from the mailing date of this communication of 3 CFR 1.13(e). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after EX (6) MCNT15F from the mailing date of this communication.  **Pallura to reply which in the set or extended princip of reply will, by attended princip of the pallura to reply with the set or extended princip of the pallura to reply which the set or extended princip of the pallura to reply which in the set or extended princip of the pallura to reply which in the set or extended princip of the pallura to reply which in the set or extended princip of the pallura to reply which the set or extended princip of the pallura to reply which in the set or extended princip of the pallura to reply which in the set or extended princip of the pallura to reply which in set or extended princip of the pallura to reply which in set or extended princip of the pallura to reply which the set or extended princip of the pallura to reply which the set or extended princip of the pallura to reply which the set or extended princip of the pallura to reply with the pallura to reply with the pallura to reply and the pall		ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
This action is FINAL.   2b \infty This action is non-final.   3b \infty Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was realiure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tire within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  s will be considered timely. It he mailing date of this communication. CD (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
2a] This action is FINAL.  2b] This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1,3-11,13,21 and 27-32 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) 1,3-11,13,21 and 27-32 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) 1,3-11,13,21 and 27-32 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) cocepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheel(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) International Bureau (PCT-048) International Dates 27(0) International Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 27(0) International Colleger 20 International Colleger 20 International Colleger 20 International Colleger 2	Status	*					
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.    Disposition of Claims	1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Ju	<u>ıne 2005</u> .					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)	2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
Al) Claim(s) 1.3-11.13.21 and 27-32 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits is				
4) Claim(s) 1.3-11.13.21 and 27-32 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(e)  1 □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3 □ Notice of Informat Patent Application (PTO-152)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/10/95.	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(a)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Poper No(s)/Mail Date 3/10/05.  * Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Poper No(s)/Mail Date 3/10/05.  * Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Poper No(s)/Mail Date 3/10/05.	Disposition of Claims						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(a)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Poper No(s)/Mail Date 3/10/05.  * Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Poper No(s)/Mail Date 3/10/05.  * Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Poper No(s)/Mail Date 3/10/05.	4) Claim(s) 1.3-11.13.21 and 27-32 is/are pending	in the application.					
6) Claim(s) 1,3-1,13.21 and 27-32 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/10/05.  * Selected and Trademask Office  * Other:    Other:   Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/10/05.	•						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/10/05.  5 National art Tradement Office  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:  6) Other:  8 National art Tradement Office	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)Mail Date 3/10/05.  5 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6 Other:	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-11,13,21 and 27-32</u> is/are rejected.						
Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheel(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/10/05.  5 Patent and Tademark Office  1. ☐ Other:	· <u> </u>						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/10/05.  5 Patent and Trademark Office	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/10/05.  5 Patent and Trademark Office	Application Papers						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/10/05.  5 Patent and Trademark Office	9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Copies of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Copies of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Copies of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/10/05.  Selection of Trademark Office	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/10/05.  5. Patent and Trademark Office	11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/10/05.  S. Patent and Trademark Office	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/10/05.  S. Patent and Trademark Office	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).				
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/10/05.  5. Patent and Trademark Office	· -		, , , , ,				
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/10/05.  S. Patent and Trademark Office	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  *Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/10/05.  **See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Other:	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  *Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/10/05.  *Attachment(s)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Poper No(s)/Mail Date 3/10/05.	<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li></ol>	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/10/05.  5. Patent and Trademark Office							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/10/05.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/10/05.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:		•					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/10/05.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:	Attach man (a)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/10/05.  Patent and Trademark Office	``´	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/10/05.  6) Other:  S. Patent and Trademark Office	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate				
6. Patent and Trademark Office		·	ratent Application (PTO-152)				
TANK STATE OF THE	5. Patent and Trademark Office	Alan Summan	Part of Paper No /Mail Date 820				

1/2

Art Unit: 1764

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Claim Objections

1. Claim 5 is objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 5, line 4 apparently "are" should be changed to --is--.

Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 11, it is unclear as to what structural limitation applicant is attempting to recite, the regeneration of "sulfates", "nitrates", and "particles", "lean mixture" and "rich mixture" have no clear antecedent basis. Note that the lean and rich mixing ratios are merely referred to in the preamble of the claim and are not parts of the system. Furthermore, claim 11 is an improper dependent claim as it fails to further limit the subject matter of the previous claims. Apparently, claim 11 merely recites process limitation and therefore is not structurally further limiting.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 1764

5. Claims 1, 8-9, 27-28, 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by WO 00/21647.

With respect to claim 1, WO 00/21647 discloses that the system comprises a NOx adsorption catalyst 28, a particle separator 16, and a three-way catalyst 30 wherein the NOx adsorption catalyst 28 is arranged in the same structure with three-way catalysts 30 (page 8, lines 12-13). The three-way catalyst 30, such as Pt, is the same as the oxidation catalyst of the instant claim and therefore possesses the same properties. WO '647 further discloses that the three-way catalyst, such as Pt, is for converting NO to NO2 (abstract, page 2, lines 29-31).

With respect to claim 8, WO 00/21647 discloses that the particle separator 16 contains oxidation catalyst, such as Pt (col. 3, lines 6-15; col. 2, lines 29-31).

With respect to claims 9, 30, WO 00/21647 discloses that the oxidation catalyst contains precious catalyst metal or three-way catalyst metal, such as platinum catalytic metal (page 4, lines 1-11, claim 5). The three-way catalyst metal is effective to promote conversion of HC and CO.

With respect to claims 27, 28, WO 00/21647 discloses that the particle separator 16 also contains catalysts, such as Pt, La (page 3, lines 6-11) which are considered as oxidation catalyst and NOx adsorption catalyst.

Instant claims 1, 8-9, 27-28, 30 structurally read on the apparatus of WO 00/21647.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Art Unit: 1764

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 7. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 8. Claim 3-4, 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 00/21647.

With respect to the specific arrangement of the units in claims 3-4, 29, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention was made to select an appropriate arrangement for the units since positioning the parts of the apparatus is no more than a design choice, and well within the knowledge of one skilled in the art so as to achieve the purification attendant therewith, absence showing any unexpected results and since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Japikse*, 86 USPQ 70.

It should be noted that where the only difference between the prior art and the claims is a recitation of a specific arrangement of the units, and the units having the claimed elements would not perform differently than the prior art device, the claimed device is not patentably distinct from the prior art device.

9. Claims 5-7, 10, 13, 21, 31, 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 00/21647 in view of Shinzawa et al (4,887,427) or DE 3,518,756.

Art Unit: 1764

WO 00/21647 further discloses a connecting channel 10.

With respect to claims 5-6, 7, 10, 31, 32, the apparatus of WO 00/21647 is substantially the same as that of the instant claims, but is silent as to whether each adsorbent is arranged in an exhaust discharge line of each cylinder of the engine and the discharge line being connected to a connecting channel containing the separator and the oxidation catalyst.

However, Shinzawa et al and DE 3,518,756 disclose provision of each exhaust discharge line of each cylinder of the engine has catalyst filter.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to arrange a set of operational units in each exhaust discharge line of each cylinder of the engine as taught by either Shinzawa et al or DE 3,518,756 so as to enhance the purification of the system thereof.

With respect to claims 13, 21, WO 00/21647 discloses that the adsorption catalyst comprises platinum and at least one element selected from compounds of alkali metals (Li, Na, etc.), alkaline earth metals (Ba, Ca, etc.) and transition metals (page 3, line 17 to page 4, line 2, claim 2).

10. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 00/21647 in view of Shinzawa et al (4,887,427) or DE 3,518,756 as applied to claim 10 above and further in view of EP 758,713.

With respect to claim 11, since these claims are directed to method limitations which are of no patentable moment in apparatus claims, the modified apparatus of WO 00/21647 structurally meets these claims.

Art Unit: 1764

In any event, EP 758,713 discloses provision of regeneration of the NOx adsorption catalyst by periodically using a lean mixture and a rich mixture (col. 8, line 3 to col. 9, line 12; col. 10, lines 4-6, etc.)

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to alternately regenerate the NOx adsorption catalyst by periodically using a lean mixture and a rich mixture as taught by EP 758,713 in the modified apparatus of WO 00/21647 so as to reuse the adsorption catalyst.

11. Claims 1, 3-5, 8-9, 11, 27-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP 758,713.

With respect to claims 1, 3-4, 29, EP 758,713 discloses an apparatus consisting of three operational units including: an oxidation catalyst 5, a particle separator 7 and an NOx adsorption catalyst 9.

The apparatus of EP 758,713 is substantially the same as that of the instant claims, but fails to disclose a specific arrangement of the three units as claimed.

However, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention was made to select an appropriate arrangement for the units since positioning the parts of the apparatus is no more than a design choice, and well within the knowledge of one skilled in the art so as to achieve the purification attendant therewith absence showing any unexpected results and since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Japikse*, 86 USPQ 70.

With respect to claim 5, EP 758,713 discloses a connecting channel 3 (col. 3, lines 54-58).

Art Unit: 1764

With respect to claim 8, EP 758,713 discloses that oxidation catalyst is disposed in the same structure with the separator (page 5, lines 22-43).

With respect to claim 9, EP 758,713 discloses that the oxidation catalyst contains precious catalyst metal, such as platinum catalytic metal (page 4, lines 50-59).

With respect to claim 11, EP 758,713 discloses provision of regeneration of the NOx adsorption catalyst by periodically using a lean mixture and a rich mixture (col. 8, line 3 to col. 9, line 12; col. 10, lines 4-6, etc.)

With respect to claims 27, 28, EP 758,713 discloses that the particle separator 7 also contains catalysts, such as Pt (page 5, lines 22-43) which are considered as oxidation catalyst and NOx adsorption catalyst.

With respect to claim 30, the NOx adsorption catalyst in EP 758,713 further includes a precious catalyst which is effective to promote conversion of HC and CO (page 4, lines 56-58).

12. Claims 6-7, 10, 13, 21, 31-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP 758,713 as applied to claims 1, 3-5, 8-9, 11, 27-30 above and further in view of Shinzawa et al (4,887,427) or DE 3,518,756.

The same comments with respect to Shinzawa and DE 3,518,756 apply.

With respect to claims 13, 21, EP 758,713 further discloses that the adsorption catalyst comprises platinum and at least one element selected from compounds of alkali metals (Li, Na, etc.), alkaline earth metals (Ba, Ca, etc.) (page 5, lines 44-57).

# Response to Arguments

13. Applicant's arguments filed 6/17/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Art Unit: 1764

Applicant argues that in contrast to the three operational units of instant claim 1, WO 00/21647 reference discloses four-unit combination of operation units and therefore does not meet the closed language associated with the combination of operational units. Such contention is not persuasive as instant claim 1 contains the phrase of "comprising" (open language) which opens the instant claim to the inclusion of other units/elements.

Applicant argues that WO '647 arranges the operational units in a different sequence from claim 1. Such contention is not persuasive as WO '647 discloses that the system may comprise a NOx adsorption catalyst 28, a particle separator 16, and a three-way catalyst 30 wherein the NOx adsorption catalyst 28 is arranged in the same structure with three-way catalysts 30 (page 8, lines 12-13). Such arrangement meets the specific order in instant claim 1. The three-way catalyst 30, such as Pt, is the same as the oxidation catalyst of the instant claim and therefore possesses the same properties. Furthermore, WO '647 discloses that the three-way catalyst, such as Pt, is for converting NO to NO2 (abstract, page 2, lines 29-31).

Applicant's arguments with respect to Shinzawa et al, DE '756 and EP '713 regarding the arrangement of the units are noted. However, Shinzawa et al and DE '756 are only relied upon for teaching the conventionality of locating a catalyst filter in each exhaust discharge line of each cylinder of the engine. EP '713 is only relied upon for teaching the conventionality of regenerating NOx adsorption catalyst by periodically adjusting the mixing ratio of the engine from a lean mixture to a rich mixture.

In response to applicant's argument that the examiner's conclusion of obviousness is based upon improper hindsight reasoning, it must be recognized that any judgment on obviousness is in a sense necessarily a reconstruction based upon hindsight reasoning. But so

Art Unit: 1764

long as it takes into account only knowledge which was within the level of ordinary skill at the time the claimed invention was made, and does not include knowledge gleaned only from the applicant's disclosure, such a reconstruction is proper. See *In re McLaughlin*, 443 F.2d 1392, 170 USPQ 209 (CCPA 1971). In this case, EP '713 discloses all of the structural elements set forth in the instant claims, except for the specific arrangement of the units, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention was made to select an appropriate arrangement for the units since positioning the parts of the apparatus is no more than a design choice, and well within the knowledge of one skilled in the art so as to achieve the purification attendant therewith, absence showing any unexpected results and since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Japikse*, 86 USPQ 70. It should be also noted that where the only difference between the prior art and the claims is a recitation of a specific arrangement of the units, and the units having the claimed elements would not perform differently than the prior art device, the claimed device is not patentably distinct from the prior art device.

#### Conclusion

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hien Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-1454. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday from 7:30AM-6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on (571) 272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/897,453 Page 10

Art Unit: 1764

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

then Tran

Hien Tran Primary Examiner Art Unit 1764

HT